	Lesson 3 — Interpretation of Sta	ntutes (61 Cases)
No.	Case Name	Key Point / Section
		Preamble helps understand legislative intent (Preamble relevance).
2	Raj Mal v. Harnam Singh (1928) 9 Lah. 260	Preamble clarifies ambiguity (Preamble interpretation).
		Headings assist interpretation (Internal aids to interpretation).
		Headings as interpretive aids (Internal aids).
		Marginal notes as aids (Internal aids).
		Use of preamble in construction (Preamble role).
-	·	Using preamble in ambiguous statutes (Preamble aid).
-		Mischief rule: resolve the 'mischief' statute addresses (Principles of interpretation).
	Smith v. Hughes (1871) LR 6 QB 597	True intention over literal words (Principles of interpretation).
		Literal rule: ordinary meaning unless absurd (Principles of interpretation).
		Golden rule: avoid absurdity (Principles of interpretation).
		Harmonious construction of provisions (Principles of interpretation).
		Presumption against absurdity (Presumptions).
	CIT v. S. Teja Singh AIR 1959 SC 352	Legal fictions interpreted strictly (Deeming provisions).
		Harmonious construction (Principles).
	CIT v. Shahzada Nand AIR 1966 SC 1342	Fiscal statute interpretation (Taxing statutes).
-	CIT v. Ajax Products Ltd. AIR 1965 SC 1358	Strict construction in taxation (Taxing statutes).
		Avoid undue hardship (Taxing statutes).
		Equity approach in taxation (Taxing statutes).
-	Nalinakhya Bysack v. Shyam Sunder AIR 1953 SC 148	Retrospective application (Prospective/retrospective).
		Prospective operation (Retrospective statutes).
		Use of 'shall' vs 'may' (Mandatory or directory provisions).
-	State of U.P. v. Manbodhan Lal AIR 1957 SC 912	'May' as mandatory (Mandatory/directory).
		Interpretation of provisos (Proviso interpretation).
	Ishverlal Thakorelal v. Motibhai AIR 1966 SC 459	'And' vs 'or' usage (Conjunctive/disjunctive terms).
-	Hari Vishnu Kamath v. Ahmad Ishaque AIR 1955 SC 233	Deeming provisions (Legal fictions).
		Repugnancy between laws (Repugnancy).
	Tika Ramji v. State of U.P. AIR 1956 SC 676	General vs special provision (Conflict resolution).
	Anisminic Ltd. v. Foreign Compensation Commission (1969) 2 AC 147	Jurisdiction exclusion (Jurisdictional clauses).
		Procedural law interpretation (Procedural provisions).
		Fiscal statute construction (Fiscal statutes).
	J.K. Cotton Spinning v. State of U.P. AIR 1961 SC 1170	Conflict of delegated legislation (Delegated legislation).
		Doctrine of substantial compliance (Compliance doctrines).
_		Doctrine of impossibility (Doctrine of impossibility).
		Strict construction of penal statutes (Penal statutes).
		General Clauses Act use (General Clauses Act).  Reading methodology (Companies Act reading).
-	- '''	
	Union of India v. Madras Bar Association AIR 2010 SC 2633	Harmonious construction (Principles).
		Presumptions in favor of constitutionality (Presumptions).
		Retrospective effect (Retrospective statutes).
		Definitions clause interpretation (Definition clause).
		Socially beneficial construction (Social statutes).  Strict construction in penal law (Penal statutes).
		Beneficial construction (Beneficial statutes).
	·	Conflict resolution (General/special provisions).
	• •	Use of legislative intent (Intent analysis).
	·	Presumptions in taxing statutes (Taxation presumptions).
		Construction of exemptions (Tax exemptions).
	State of Punjab v. Mohar Singh AIR 1955 SC 84	Saving clauses (Saving provisions).
	Commercial Tax Officer v. Binani Cement Ltd. AIR 2014 SC 210	Saving clauses (Saving provisions).  Interpretation of notifications (Notification rules).
-		Definition of goods (Statutory definitions).
	,	Interpretation of charging section (Charging sections).
	Dhulabhai v. State of M.P. AIR 1969 SC 78	Jurisdictional bar (Jurisdiction clauses).
-		Presumptions under law (Legal presumptions).
	·	Administrative interpretation (Administrative law).
	Badri Prasad v. State of M.P. AIR 1970 SC 706	Recognition of custom (Custom as a source).
_		Public trust doctrine (Public interest).
		Penal construction (Penal statutes).
		Penal construction (Penal statutes).  Construction of words (Word meanings).
-	Ÿ	Harmonious construction (Construction rules).
L 0.1	O. Marayanaswanii V. G. Patinerservani Air. 1972 SC 1973	Use of contemporanea expositio (Contemporaneous exposition).

	Lesson 4 — Administrative La	awe (31 Casas)
No.	Case Name	Key Point / Section
1	Mansukhlal Vithaldas Chauhan v. State of Gujarat AIR 1997 SC 3400	Judicial review ensures fairness, not merits (Judicial review scope).
2	A.K. Kraipak v. Union of India AIR 1970 SC 150	Principles of natural justice apply (Natural justice).
3	Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India AIR 1978 SC 597	Fair procedure essential under Article 21 (Fair hearing).
4	Ridge v. Baldwin (1964) AC 40	Right to be heard (Audi alteram partem).
5	Suresh Koshy George v. University of Kerala AIR 1969 SC 198	Pre-decisional hearing not always mandatory (Hearing scope).
6	Gullapalli Nageswara Rao v. APSRTC AIR 1959 SC 308	Rule against bias (Nemo judex in causa sua).
7	M.C. Mehta v. Union of India AIR 1987 SC 1086	Absolute liability of enterprises (Environmental tort).
8	State of Punjab v. Gurdial Singh AIR 1980 SC 319	Discretionary power cannot be misused (Administrative discretion).
9	State of Bombay v. K.P. Krishnan AIR 1960 SC 122	Limits on administrative discretion (Discretion boundaries).
10	Express Newspapers v. Union of India AIR 1986 SC 872	Doctrine of public trust (Public interest).
11	R.D. Shetty v. International Airport Authority AIR 1979 SC 1628	Government contracts must follow Article 14 (Equality principle).
12	Delhi Transport Corporation v. DTC Mazdoor Congress AIR 1991 SC 101	Right to be heard before termination (Natural justice).
13	Union of India v. Hindustan Development Corporation AIR 1994 SC 988	Doctrine of legitimate expectation (Fairness in administration).
14	Kumari Shrilekha Vidyarthi v. State of U.P. AIR 1991 SC 537	Article 14 applies to public employment contracts (Equality before law).
15	Tulsiram Patel v. Union of India AIR 1985 SC 1416	Exceptions to natural justice (Exclusion principle).
16	A.P. Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu AIR 1999 SC 812	Precautionary principle (Environmental law).
17	State of U.P. v. Maharaja Dharmander Prasad Singh AIR 1989 SC 997	Scope of judicial review (Scope limits).
18	State of Orissa v. Binapani Dei AIR 1967 SC 1269	Administrative order must follow fairness (Due process).
19	Swadeshi Cotton Mills v. Union of India AIR 1981 SC 818	Natural justice in takeover matters (Fair hearing).
20	Food Corporation of India v. Kamdhenu Cattle Feed Industries AIR 1993 SC 1601	Government contracts and fairness (Fair process).
21	Ramana Dayaram Shetty v. International Airport Authority AIR 1979 SC 1628	Public authority accountability (Public accountability).
22	Mahabir Auto Stores v. Indian Oil Corporation AIR 1990 SC 1031	Arbitrary government action barred (No arbitrariness).

23	Union of India v. Graphic Industries AIR 1994 SC 1491	Judicial review over policy decisions (Policy scrutiny).
24	Union of India v. C. Krishna Reddy AIR 2003 SC 772	Contractual disputes under administrative law (Administrative contracts).
25	Raghunath Thakur v. State of Bihar AIR 1989 SC 620	Natural justice in public employment (Employment fairness).
26	Bangalore Water Supply v. A. Rajappa AIR 1978 SC 548	Scope of 'industry' under Industrial Disputes Act (Labour law).
27	Navjyoti Coop. Group Housing Society v. Union of India AIR 1993 SC 155	Legitimacy of government guidelines (Legitimate expectation).
28	Shivsagar Tiwari v. Union of India AIR 1996 SC 177	Judicial review on allocation of discretionary powers (Discretion check).
29	Union of India v. J.N. Sinha AIR 1971 SC 40	Reasonableness of administrative actions (Reasonable discretion).
30	Tata Cellular v. Union of India AIR 1996 SC 11	Judicial review over administrative contracts (Contract review).
31	Mafatlal Industries v. Union of India AIR 1997 SC 1106	Refund under public law (Public refund claims).

	Lesson 5 — Law of Torts (29 Cases)	
No.	Case Name	Key Point / Section
1	Rylands v. Fletcher (1868) LR 3 HL 330	Strict liability for escape of dangerous things (Strict liability doctrine).
2	M.C. Mehta v. Union of India AIR 1987 SC 1086	Absolute liability for hazardous industries (Environmental law).
3	Jay Laxmi Salt Works (P) Ltd. v. State of Gujarat AIR 1994 SC 2228	State liability for negligence (State tort liability).
4	Poonam Verma v. Ashwin Patel AIR 1996 SC 2111	Medical negligence and tortious liability (Professional negligence).
5	Indian Medical Association v. V.P. Shantha AIR 1996 SC 550	Medical services under Consumer Protection Act (Medical services liability).
6	Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932) AC 562	Duty of care in negligence (Neighbor principle).
7	Grant v. Australian Knitting Mills (1936) AC 85	Manufacturer's liability to consumer (Product liability).
8	Glasgow Corporation v. Taylor (1922) 1 AC 44	Attractive nuisance doctrine (Duty to children).
9	Ashby v. White (1703) 92 ER 126	Infringement of legal rights (Right without damage).
10	Bhagat Ram v. State of Rajasthan AIR 1983 SC 454	Misfeasance in public office (Government liability).
11	Cassidy v. Ministry of Health (1951) 2 KB 343	Employer's vicarious liability (Vicarious liability).
12	Hall v. Brooklands Auto-Racing Club (1933) 1 KB 205	Volenti non fit injuria (Consent to risk).
13	Smith v. Baker (1891) AC 325	Duty of employer despite employee's knowledge (Employee safety).
14	Hedley Byrne & Co. v. Heller & Partners Ltd. (1964) AC 465	Negligent misstatement (Economic loss liability).
15	Derry v. Peek (1889) 14 App Cas 337	Fraudulent misrepresentation (Deceit action).
16	Lumley v. Gye (1853) 2 E & B 216	Inducing breach of contract (Economic tort).
17	Mogul Steamship Co. Ltd. v. McGregor Gow & Co. (1892) AC 25	Lawful competition not tortious (Competition law).
18	Bradford Corporation v. Pickles (1895) AC 587	Lawful use of one's own property (Malice irrelevant).
19	Allen v. Flood (1898) AC 1	Malice in tortious acts (Malicious intent).
20	Mayor of Bradford v. Pickles (1895) AC 587	Lawful acts not actionable (Lawful interference).
21	Vaughan v. Menlove (1837) 3 Bing. N.C. 468	Reasonable man test (Negligence standard).
22	Fardon v. Harcourt-Rivington (1932) All ER Rep 81	Foreseeability in negligence (Negligence elements).
23	Blyth v. Birmingham Waterworks Co. (1856) 11 Exch 781	Negligence defined as omission of duty (Negligence definition).
24	Re Polemis and Furness, Withy & Co. Ltd. (1921) 3 KB 560	Direct consequence test (Remoteness of damage).
25	Wagon Mound (No. 1) (1961) AC 388	Reasonable foreseeability test (Remoteness of damage).
26	Liesbosch Dredger v. Steamship Edison (1933) AC 449	Duty to mitigate damages (Damages limits).
27	Sedleigh-Denfield v. O'Callaghan (1940) AC 880	Occupier's liability for nuisance (Nuisance).
28	Sturges v. Bridgman (1879) 11 Ch D 852	Prescription in nuisance (Defenses to nuisance).
29	Miller v. Jackson (1977) QB 966	Balancing public interest in nuisance (Public nuisance balancing).

Lesson 10 — Law Relating to Limitation (19 Cases)		
Case Name	Key Point / Section	
Bombay Dying & Mfg. Co. Ltd. v. State of Bombay AIR 1958 SC 328	Limitation law bars remedy, not the right itself (Limitation Act general principle).	
Noharlal Verma v. District Cooperative Bank Ltd., Jagdalpur (2008) SC	Computation of limitation period (Computation of time, Limitation Act).	
Ravinder Kaur Grewal & Ors. v. Manjit Kaur & Ors. (2019) SCC OnLine SC 975	Adverse possession claims under Limitation Act (Adverse possession).	
L.C. Mills v. State of Gujarat AIR 1994 SC 2213	Continuous cause of action and running time (Continuous cause of action).	
Madan Lal v. Shiv Narain AIR 1987 SC 1233	Sufficient cause for condonation of delay (Section 5 Limitation Act).	
Collector of Customs v. East Punjab Traders AIR 1998 SC 920	Extension of limitation period (Extension under special law).	
Sitaram Ramcharan v. M.N. Nagrashana AIR 1960 SC 260	Disability and limitation (Disability clauses).	
Ramlal v. Rewa Coalfields AIR 1962 SC 361	Condonation of delay principles (Section 5, Limitation Act).	
P.K. Ramachandran v. State of Kerala AIR 1998 SC 2276	Strict adherence to limitation (Strict interpretation).	
Union of India v. M/s Popular Construction Co. AIR 2001 SC 4010	Non-extendable period under special statutes (Arbitration Act limitation).	
Rajendra Singh v. Santa Singh AIR 1973 SC 2537	Acknowledgment of liability and fresh period (Section 18, Limitation Act).	
J. K. Cotton Spinning v. Firm Ram Nath AIR 1964 SC 751	Effect of part payment (Section 19, Limitation Act).	
Surajmal v. Radhey Shyam AIR 1980 SC 772	Acknowledgment in writing (Acknowledgment rules).	
Krishna v. State of Madhya Pradesh AIR 1977 SC 1875	Public policy and limitation (Public policy considerations).	
Vidyacharan Shukla v. Khubchand Baghel AIR 1964 SC 1099	Limitation in election petitions (Special statutes).	
New India Assurance Co. v. Shanti Misra AIR 1976 SC 237	Limitation for motor accident claims (Motor Vehicles Act).	
Commissioner of Customs v. Toyo Engineering Ltd. AIR 2006 SC 2544	Interpretation of 'reasonable period' (Reasonable time concept).	
Ranganayakamma v. K.S. Prakash AIR 2008 SC 2003	Limitation in matrimonial disputes (Family law application).	
Muthia Chettiar v. Commissioner of Income Tax AIR 1969 SC 504	Limitation in tax recovery matters (Tax law limitation).	
	Case Name Bombay Dying & Mfg. Co. Ltd. v. State of Bombay AIR 1958 SC 328 Noharlal Verma v. District Cooperative Bank Ltd., Jagdalpur (2008) SC Ravinder Kaur Grewal & Ors. v. Manjit Kaur & Ors. (2019) SCC OnLine SC 975 L.C. Mills v. State of Gujarat AIR 1994 SC 2213 Madan Lal v. Shiv Narain AIR 1987 SC 1233 Collector of Customs v. East Punjab Traders AIR 1998 SC 920 Sitaram Ramcharan v. M.N. Nagrashana AIR 1998 SC 220 Sitaram Ramcharan v. M.N. Nagrashana AIR 1960 SC 260 Ramlal v. Rewa Coalfields AIR 1962 SC 361 P.K. Ramachandran v. State of Kerala AIR 1998 SC 2276 Union of India v. M/s Popular Construction Co. AIR 2001 SC 4010 Rajendra Singh v. Santa Singh AIR 1973 SC 2537 J. K. Cotton Spinning v. Firm Ram Nath AIR 1964 SC 751 Surajmal v. Radhey Shyam AIR 1980 SC 772 Krishna v. State of Madhya Pradesh AIR 1977 SC 1875 Vidyacharan Shukla v. Khubchand Baghel AIR 1964 SC 1099 New India Assurance Co. v. Shanti Misra AIR 1976 SC 237 Commissioner of Customs v. Toyo Engineering Ltd. AIR 2006 SC 2544 Ranganayakamma v. K.S. Prakash AIR 2008 SC 2003	

	Lesson 14 — Right to Information Law (9 Cases)		
No.	Case Name	Key Point / Section	
1	Union of India v. Association for Democratic Reforms AIR 2002 SC 2112	Right to know is part of fundamental rights under Article 19(1)(a) (RTI Act foundation).	
2	People's Union for Civil Liberties (PUCL) v. Union of India AIR 2004 SC 1442	Citizens' right to know and transparency in governance (Fundamental right to information).	
3	S.P. Gupta v. Union of India AIR 1982 SC 149	Access to government documents and public accountability (Judicial approach to openness).	
4	Namita Sharma v. Union of India AIR 2012 SC 2516	Appointment of Information Commissioners under RTI Act (Constitutional validity).	
5	Central Board of Secondary Education v. Aditya Bandopadhyay AIR 2011 SC 1950	Scope of right to access evaluated answer sheets under RTI (Educational institutions under RTI).	
6	Girish Ramchandra Deshpande v. Central Information Commissioner AIR 2013 SC 350	Disclosure of personal information exempted under RTI (Section 8(1)(j), RTI Act).	
7	Bihar Public Service Commission v. Saiyed Hussain Abbas Rizwi AIR 2012 SC 3083	Public interest test under RTI (Balancing transparency and confidentiality).	
8	Reserve Bank of India v. Jayantilal N. Mistry AIR 2016 SC 1290	Disclosure obligations of public financial institutions (Financial transparency under RTI).	
9	Chief Information Commissioner v. State of Manipur AIR 2011 SC 2569	Duties of public authorities under RTI (Supply of information and penalties).	